NAU Mixing Valve Team

Rob Stevenson: Project Manager Stephon Lane: Client Contact Jorge Renova: Budget Liaison Summer Johnson: Document Manager Connor Mebius: Website Developer

Project Description

- Our project is a mixing valve for General Atomics.
 There are two inlet ports and one outlet port to produce water that is at a specific temperature.
- The temperature is specified by the user and the valve mixes two streams of water to create the outlet stream of water.
- The goal is to reduce the weight of their current valve by a total of 96 lbs



Figure 1: Modified Valve

Black Box Model



Stevenson

Functional Model



Stevenson

Concept Generation

- For Stainless Steel Valve Body:
 - With Flanges: 102.7 lbs
 - Without Flanges: 41.2 lbs.
 - Weight reduced: 61.5 lbs.
- For Titanium Valve Body:
 - With Flange: 57.9 lbs
 - Without Flange: 23.1 lbs
 - Weight reduced: 34.8 lbs.

Material	Attachment Method
All Stainless Steel	Flanges
All Titanium	welds
Mix of Steel and Ti	Coupling/clamp

Figure 4: Concept generation freedom

• Total weight Reduction by switching to Ti and removing Flanges: 79.6 lbs

Stevenson

Why Switch to Titanium?

Pros:

- Potential to use less material if re-constructing valve
- Titanium is non corrosive because it produces and oxidized protective layer
- Titanium is 56% as dense as Stainless Steel



use it modulus of 28000ksi

Drawbacks:

 more material or re-structured part for same stiffness

Titanium has a modulus of 16ksi,

whereas Stainless Steel has a

- Titanium is more expensive
- Titanium is more difficult to machine

Figure 5: Titanium Information from Periodic Table

Why Switch to Hydroflow Flanges?

- Hydroflow flanges can be used instead of original flanges
 - Three Flanges at exit/inlets are heavy
 - Reduce valve body weight by 61.5 lbs for stainless steel
- Can not weld stainless steel to titanium so welding is not an option
 - Hydroflow flanges can be machined with both titanium and stainless steel
- Hydroflow flanges act more as a coupling (Figure 6)



Figure 6: Un-Modified Valve



Figure 7: Example of Coupling

Why Choose a mix of Steel and Titanium?



Figure 8: Exploded View of Un-Modified Valve

Final Concept

- Mix of stainless steel and titanium
- Reduce machining cost
- Hydro-pressure couplings
 - No Flanges
 - Reduces weight
- Compatible with existing actuator
- Total weight reduction 79.6 lbs



Figure 10: Valve Concept

Budget Planning

- \$2500.00 available
- General Atomics will do all of our machining, so our budget will go to planning and prototyping 3D printed models
- No budget has been used at this time

Future Work

- Topology Optimization
 - \circ Affects flow
 - Internal component redesign
- Wall thickness
 - Reduce weight
- 3D Prototype



Figure 11: Valve Concept

Questions?



References

https://www.armstronginternational.com/products-systems/hot-water-industry/water-temperature-controls/e mech®-digital-control-valves/actuators

[2] Armstrong International, Inc. "Emech® Digital Control Valves." Armstrong, 2017, <u>www.armstronginternational.com/sites/default/files/resources/documents/HW-430.pdf</u>. [Accessed: 05- Feb-2020].

https://www.armstronginternational.com/products-systems/hot-water-industry/water-temperature-controls/e mech®-digital-control-valves/hot-cold-water/model-e100wr